	Millbrook Proving Ground Ltd.		Millbrook
Static Stability	Project	VG0356-001-01	
	Datapack	MBK16/0491	
lilt lest	Test Date	24/05/2016	
	Issue Date	26/05/2016	

Test Vehicle Details

Vehicle Make:	Alpha Grip
Vehicle Model:	Scarab XL
Vehicle Identification No.:	Not Known
Total Permissible Mass (kg):	Not Known
Permissible Front Axle Load (kg):	Not Known
Permissible Rear Axle Load (kg):	Not Known
Tyre Make and Model:	Continental Contract AC70G
Tyre Size:	425/55 R17 MPT
Tyre Pressures (psi):	As delivered
Camera Boom Arm:	Alpha Grip Moviebird 60
Vehicle Test Load: 80 kg Driver, 80 kg Passenger	
Vehicle Test Setup:	Neutral, Park Brake OFF



Figure 1 - Test Vehicle

Result Overview

Configuration	Configuration Description	Result
1	Rear bed straight, no weights on crane	23.4
2	Rear bed leant over, no weights on crane	29.7
3	Rear bed straight, 616 kg on each side of crane	20.5
4	Rear bed leant over, 616 kg on each side of crane	26.7

Issue No.	Effective Date:	MBK16/0491
1	26th May 2016	Page 1 of 5

Instrumentation			
Instrumentation		Serial No.	Calibration Due
Inclinometer, Platfo	rm	21-0095-48	Apr-17
Inclinometer, Body P	ront	21-2508-16 21 MDC 197	Feb-17
		ZT-IVIPGT07	Apr-17
Millbrook Weather Sta	ye	N/A 03-1363-40	lan-17
		03-1303-40	5an-17
	Weather C	onditions	
Average Wind	Speed (m/s):	4.3	
Average Wind	Direction (°):	42.5	
	Tilt Axis (°):	240° / 60°	
	Contact	Details	
Author:	Luke Robey		
Position:	Test Engineer		
Department:		urement	
Email: Dhana Numbari	III: Iuke.robey@millbrook.co.uk		
Filone Nulliber.	+44 1525 406	443	
Approver:	Rob Taylor		ſ
Position:	Project Engineer		
Department:	Vehicle Measure	urement	
•			
Issue No.	Effectiv	e Date:	MBK16/0491
1	26th M	ay 2016	Page 2 of 5

Tilt Test Results				
Configurat	tion 1		Rear bed straight No weights on crane	
	RH Til	t Test	Rear Wheel Lift (°)	
	Platf	orm	23.4	
	Body	Front	24.4	
	Body	Rear	29.5	



Figure 3 - Config. 1, Front View, 23.4° Platform



Figure 4 - Config. 1, Rear View, 23.4° Platform

Configuration 2

Rear bed leant over No weights on crane

RH Tilt Test	Rear Wheel Lift (°)
Platform	29.7
Body Front	31.0
Body Rear	21.9



Figure 5 - Config. 2, Front View, 29.7° Platform



Figure 6 - Config. 2, Rear View, 29.7° Platform

Issue No.	Effective Date:	MBK16/0491
1	26th May 2016	Page 3 of 5

Tilt Test Results				
Configu	Configuration 3 Rear bed straight			
Connge		28 x 22 kg plates on each side of crane		
	RH Ti	t Test	Rear Wheel Lift (°)	
	Plat	form	20.5	
	Body	Front	21.1	7
	Body	Rear	24.2	



Figure 7 - Config. 3, Front View, 20.5° Platform



Figure 8 - Config. 3, Rear View, 20.5° Platform

Configuration 4

Rear bed leant over 28 x 22 kg plates on each side of crane

RH Tilt Test	Rear Wheel Lift (°)
Platform	26.7
Body Front	27.8
Body Rear	19.2



Figure 9 - Config. 4, Front View, 26.7° Platform



Figure 10 - Config. 4, Rear View, 26.7° Platform

Issue No.	Effective Date:	MBK16/0491
1	26th May 2016	Page 4 of 5

Conclusions

Tilting the rear bed away from the direction of tilt resulted in an increase in rear wheel lift angle of 6.2 degrees with the weights attached to the crane, and by 6.3 degrees without the weights.

Moving all weight from the stowage boxes onto the crane resulted in a decrease in rear wheel lift angle of 2.9 degrees with the rear bed straight, and by 3.0 degrees with the rear bed leant over at its maximum away from the direction of tilt.

An overall increase in rear wheel lift angle of 9.2 degrees was achieved as a result of moving weight from the crane into the rear stowage lockers, and tilting the crane column away from the angle of tilt.

During all tests, the rear wheel was the only one to lift. The vehicle was articulated between the front and rear axles, and as a result when the rear axle lifted, it was not held down by the front wheels, so all platform angles referred to as "rear wheel lift" should also be considered as the vehicle's roll over angle.

Recommendation

Millbrook would recommend that the weights are removed from the crane and placed within the rear stowage lockers, and that the crane is tilted away from the angle of tilt, whenever the vehicle is traversing a side slope.

It is not possible for Millbrook to recommend safe operating conditions for the vehicle, as all tests have been conducted statically. However, a suitable safety factor should be incorporated when determining the safe operating conditions of the vehicle.

Issue No.	Effective Date:	MBK16/0491
1	26th May 2016	Page 5 of 5